Appendix 1								
SCRUTINY TOPIC SELECTION ASSESSMENT								
Topic:		Proposed by:						
		Date:						
STEP 1: Rationale								
Rationale for Selection Why would we do this? Does it link to our Strategic outcomes and priorities? What benefits could result?	Evidence support the ranged for scrureview? What are the	ce is there to ationale and tiny inquiry/	What would we wish to achieve in undertaking scrutiny inquiry /review? Is the desired outcome likely to be achieved and why?					
Step 2: Rejection Criteria:		Step 3: Selection Criteria:						
Already being addressed by LDC or part Matter subjudice or prejudicial to Counci Specific case falling within complaints pr Individual disciplinary or grievance matte Unlikely to result in improvements for loc Select	il's interests rocedure er	 Improvements for local people likely Community or corporate priority area Key issue for public Poorly performing service High level of dissatisfaction Select						
Specify reason(s) for rejection:		Specify reason(s) for selection:						

STEP 4: Prioritise — Score for Importance and Impact and plot on grid

Importance Score	High	4	AMBER	ZONE	GREEI	N ZONE
Supporting Evidence:	I M P O R		Possible scrutiny t prio	out not a	Priority topic for scrutiny	
Impact Score Supporting Evidence	T A N C E		RED ZONE Reject topic for scrutiny		AMBER ZONE Possible topic for scrutiny but not a priority	
*Consider Briefing Note or Briefing Session	Low		1	2	3	4
			• •		PACT High	

Scoring Guide									
Score 0	No evidence that topic is related to the Council's key aims and priorities. Reject			No potential benefits likely to result. Reject					
1	Some evidence that topic linked to Council's key aims and priorities but only indirectly.			Minor potential benefits or benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group.					
2	Good evidence linking topic to Council's key aims but not to Council's current priorities.			Minor potential benefits affecting two or more wards/customer/client groups or, Moderate potential benefits affecting only one ward/customer/client group.					
3	Good evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities.			Moderate potential benefits affecting more than one ward/customer/client group, or Substantial potential benefits affecting one or more ward/customer/client groups.					
4	Strong evidence linking topic to Council's key aims and priorities.			Substantial potential benefits community wide or for a significant proportion or section of the community					
OUTCOME:		Select*		rve List					
Date: *Estimated date for start			and finish HOS:						